1	GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General ALVIN J. KOROBKIN,				
2	Deputy Attorney General 110 West A Street, Suite 700				
3	110 1 00101				
4	Attorneys for Complainant				
5	Accorneys for domptication.				
в	•				
7	BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE				
S	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY				
à	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) No. D-2387				
12	Against: STIPULATION				
13	KAY K. OTA, M.D.) Certificate No. C-28264)				
14	Respondent.				
15					
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and beween the parties that				
17	the following is true:				
18	1. An accusation has been duly served upon respondent				
19	Kay K. Ota, M.D., and he has filed a Notice of Defense.				
30	2. Respondent has fully discussed with his counsel the				
21	charges and allegations of violation of the California Business				
53	and Professions Code alleged in the Accusation and has been fully				
23	advised of his rights under the Administrative Procedure Act,				
24	including his right to a formal hearing and an opportunity to				
25	defend against the charges contained therein, reconsideration,				
26	and appeal from any adverse decision which might be rendered				
27	following said hearing.				

COTPAPER

STATE CALIFORNIA

COLUMN TO THE CA

1	3. Respondent knowingly and intelligently waives all
2 .	rights to a hearing, reconsideration and appeal, and any and all
3	other rights which may be accorded him pursuant to the Administra-
4	tive Procedure Act on the charges contained in the aforementioned
5	accusation
6	4. Respondent admits that each and every allegation
7	contained in the accusation is true and correct and that cause
8	for discipline against respondent exists pursuant to sections
9	2361, 2399.5, 700 and 2391.5 of the Business and Professions Code.
10	'5. The foregoing waiver and admissions are made for the
11	purposes of this stipulation only, and in the event this stipula-
12	tion is not accepted and adopted by the Division of Medical
13	Quality the admissions made herein shall be inadmissible in any
14	future proceeding between the parties.
15	6. It is stipulated and agreed that the Division of
16	Medical Quality may enter the following order:
17	Certificate No. C-28264 issued to respondent
18	Kay K. Ota, M.D., is revoked. However, the
19	revocation is stayed and respondent is placed
20	on probation for five (5) years upon the
21	following terms and conditions:
22	(1) As part of probation, respondent
23	is suspended from the practice of medicine
24	for 90 days beginning the effective date of
25	this decision.
26	(2) Within 60 days of the effective date

27

BIT PAPER TO BE CALIFORNIA TO SEE OF TA of this decision, respondent shall take and

pass an oral clinical examination in medical therapeutics to be administered by the Division or its designee. If respondent fails the examination, respondent must wait three months between re-examinations, except that after three failures respondent must wait one year to take each re-examination thereafter. The Division shall pay the cost of the first examination and respondent shall pay the costs of any subsequent examinations.

Respondent shall not engage in the prac-

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine until respondent has passed this oral clinical examination and has been so notified by the Division in writing.

- (3) Respondent shall not prescribe, administer, dispense, order to possess (except as prescribed, administered or dispensed to respondent by another person authorized by law to do so) controlled substances as defined by the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act except for those drugs listed in Schedules IV and V of the Act.
- (4) Within one year of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division for its prior approval, a program of approved Category I, Continuing Medical Education, related to medical therapeutic pharmacology. The program shall consist of 50 hours per year for the first three years of probation. This program

SET PAPER

:23

shall be in addition to the Continuing Education requirements for relicensure.

- (5) Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.
- (6) Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- (7) Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program.
- (8) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division's medical consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- (9) In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State, respondent must notify in writing the Division of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of this probationary period.
- (10) If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may set aside the stay order and impose the revocation of the respondent's certificate.

1	(11) Upon successful completion of probation,		
2	respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.		
3	GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General		
4	ALVIN J. KOROBKIN Deputy Attorney General		
5	bepacy necorney deneral		
6	DATED: 9-17-80 alm Low		
7	ALVIN J. KOROBKIN		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	RAYMOND D. PYLE Law Offices of Welebir & Brunick		
10	Fam Offices of Metenti & Diduter		
11	DATED: 8-19-80 Raymond D. Peke		
12	RAYMOND D. PYLE		
13	Attorney for Respondent		
14	I have read the above document and fully discussed it		
15 .	with my counsel. I fully understand, accept and consent to all		
16	of the provisions of the above stipulation and 'order.		
17			
18	DATED: 8-19-80		
19	KAY K. OTA, M.D. Respondert		
20			
21	The attached Stipulation for Settlement is hereby		
22	adopted by the Division of Medical Quality, Board of Medical		
23	Quality Assurance of the State of California as its Decision		
24	in the above-entitled matter.		
25	///		
25 26	/// ///		

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS _ 22nd day of October 1980. THIS DECISION SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE ON November 24, 1980. DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
Board of Medical Quality Assurance
State of California .

COURT MAPER
FRATE OF CALIFFRNIA
TO THE HISTORY

6.

REDACTED

1	GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General ALVIN J. KOROBKIN,			
2	Deputy Attorney General 110 West A Street, Suite 600			
3	San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (714) 237-7509			
4	Attorneys for Complainant			
5				
6				
7	BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE			
8	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY			
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation			
12	Against: NO. D-2387			
13	KAY K. OTA, M.D.			
14	128 East G Street) Colton, California) ACCUSATION Certificate No. C-28264)			
15	Respondent.			
16				
17	Robert G. Rowland alleges:			
18	1. He is the Executive Director of the Board			
19.	of Medical Quality Assurance and makes this accusation			
20	in his official capacity.			
21	2. At all times mentioned herein respondent			
22	Kay K. Ota, M.D., was licensed by the Board under Physician			
.23	and Surgeon Certificate No. C-28264. Said certificate is			
24	currently in full force and effect.			
-2 5	3. Sections 2360 and 2361 of the Business and			
26	Professions Code provide that a certificate may be suspended			
~27	or revoked if the holder is guilty of unprofessional conduct			
	ll .			

URT PAPER

- 4. Section 2399.5 of the Business and Professions Code provides that prescribing, dispensing or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4211 without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 5. Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct pursuant to Section 2399.5 of the Business and Professions Code in that respondent has prescribed dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4211 without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor, as follows:

14	Date	Drug	Patient
15	1-24-78	30 Seconal 1.5 gr.	Nancy S
16	2-15-78	30 Preludin 75 mg.	Nancy S
17	4-4-78	30 Preludin 75 mg.	Nancy S
18		30 Seconal 100 mg.	
19	5-4-78	30 Preludin 75 mg.	Nancy S
20		30 Seconal 100 mg.	•
21	5-4-78	30 Preludin 75 mg. 30 Seconal 100 mg.	Sharyn D
22			·
23	5-31-78	30 Biphetamine 7 1/2 mg.	Gloria M
24	6-6-78	.32 Preludin 75 mg.	Gail F
25	6-9-78	30 Preludin 75 mg.	Sharyn D
26	·	-30 Seconal 100 mg.	
27	6-23-78	30 Preludin 75 mg. 30 Seconal 100 mg.	Nancy S

1

3

4

. 5

6

8

10

11

12

Į		·	
1	Date	Drug	<u>Patient</u>
2	7-25-78	30 Preludin 75 mg. 30 Seconal 100 mg.	Sharyn D
4 5	8-1-78	30 Preludin 75 mg. 30 Seconal 100 mg.	Nancy S
6	9-18-78	30 Preludin 75 mg. 30 Seconal 100 mg.	Sharyn D
8	6.	The drugs set forth in p	paragraph 5 hereinabove
9	are dangerous	drugs under Section 4211	L of the Business and
10	Professions (Code and Schedule II and S	Schedule III controlled

7. Effective January 1, 1978, Section 700 of the Business and Professions Code provides that repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs as determined by the local community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a licensed physician and surgeon:

substances under Division 10 of the Health and Safety Code.

Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct pursuant to Section 700 of the Business and Professions Code in that he has engaged in the clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs, as follows:

The allegations contained in paragraph 5 hereinabove are incorporated by reference herein as if fully set forth.

9. Section 2391.5 of the Business and Professions Code provides that a violation of any federal statute, or rule or regulation or any of the statutes or rules or regulations of this state regulating narcotics, dangerous drugs, or controlled substances, constitutes unprofessional

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

:25

26

conduct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

.13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10. Section 11154 of the Health and Safety Code provides that except in the regular practice of his profession, no person shall prescribe, administer, dispense, or furnish a controlled substance to or for any person who is not under his treatment for a pathology or condition.

11. Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct pursuant to Section 2391.5 of the Business and Professions Code in that he has violated Section 11154 of the Health and Safety Code, a statute of this state regulating narcotics, dangerous drugs or controlled substances, in that he is guilty of prescribing a controlled substance to a person not under his treatment for a pathology or condition, as follows:

The allegations contained in paragraph 5, hereinabove are incorporated by reference herein as if fully set forth.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that the Division of Medical Quality hold a hearing on the allegations contained herein, and following said hearing, take such action as provided by Sections 2361 and 2372 of the Business & Professions Code, or taking such other and further action as may be proper.

DATED: August 17, 1979

Executive Director

-Complainant

25

26